

| | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/779,717 | CHUTORASH, RICHARD J. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kristie Shingles | 2141 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: ABANDONED

 (1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) James Kallis, Atty 41,102.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2005
Time: 2:15pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called to confirm the non-responsive status of the patent application due to expiration of the reply period. Atty Kallis confirmed the abandoned status of the patent application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)